for assessing the degree of perfection of crystals. For instance, if $r_{\mathcal{C}}(\varphi)$ is the experimental value for a crystal, one may define the degree of perfection as

$$\{r_C(\varphi) - r_M(\varphi)\}/\{r_P(\varphi) - r_M(\varphi)\}.$$

This ratio is found to be approximately constant for different values of φ for NaNO₃ crystals grown from solution and has a mean value of about 0.5. An important advantage of this method of finding the degree of perfection of crystals is that the difficulty of determining absolute integrated reflexions is not present, as it requires only relative measurements for different azimuths.

It must be mentioned that the theoretical curve for $r_P(\varphi)$ relates to a perfect *non-absorbing* crystal. Preliminary theoretical studies show that, when there is a finite absorption, the curve for $r_P(\varphi)$ departs from this, approaching that for $r_M(\varphi)$ for increasing absorptions and coinciding with the latter for large absorption coefficients. This is in agreement with the theoretical result obtained by Hirsch & Ramachandran (1950) that perfect and mosaic crystals give the same integrated reflexions when absorption is large. When the effect of finite absorption is taken into account, it is seen that the degree of perfection of the grown crystal of NaNO₃ should be higher than the value 0.5 given above. Further investigations are in progress.

Reference

HIRSCH, P. B. & RAMACHANDRAN, G. N. (1950). Acta Cryst. 3, 187.

Acta Cryst. (1953). 6, 365

Concerning the so-called Y(OH)₃-type structure, and the structure of La(OH)₃. By RUSTUM ROY and H. A. MCKINSTRY,* School of Mineral Industries, The Pennsylvania State College, State College, Pennsylvania, U.S.A.

(Received 29 October 1952 and in revised form 28 January 1953)

Schubert & Seitz (1946) and later Schubert & Seitz (1947) and Fricke & Seitz (1947) described the structures of the trihydrates of the rare earths, including lanthanum, neodymium, samarium, erbium and yttrium. In comprehensive papers Zachariasen (1948a, b) also described the structures of some of the same rare-earth hydrates, classifying them into the UCl₃ type, which structure he determined in detail. In Structure Reports for 1947-1948 (1951) one type of structure has been classified under the name Y(OH)₃ structure, and some 20 isotypic structures are listed, including the hydroxides and chlorides of the 4f and 5f elements. Although Structure Reports lists the values of Zachariasen and those of Fricke, Seitz et al. as though they were compatible, a glance will suffice to show that there are irreconcilable differences between the two. Thus for La(OH)₃ Zachariasen gives c = 3.850, a = 6.523 Å, giving $D_X = 4.44$; whereas Fricke & Seitz give c = 3.55 and a = 6.29 Å, with $D_{X} = 5.19$. A further striking anomaly in the results of Fricke & Seitz is the fact that the unit-cell size, and hence the unit-cell volume, hardly changes at all when passing from lanthanum $(121 \cdot 6 \text{ Å}^3)$ to yttrium hydroxide $(119 \cdot 1 \text{ Å}^3)$.

Experimental

In connection with an investigation into the phase equilibrium relationships in the rare earth oxide-water systems at elevated temperatures and high water pressures it was found that single crystals (1-2 mm. long) of the various hydrates could be grown quite easily. At least four different structure types, two of them mono-hydrates, were recognized.

The oxides of the elements used, La₂O₃, Nd₂O₃, Sm₂O₃, Gd₂O₃ and Y₂O₃ were of 99% purity grade. However, confirmatory runs were made with material of stated 99.9% purity obtained from a different commercial source. Spectrographic examination of the 99.9% Y_2O_3 showed no impurity in excess of 0.01-0.05%. The oxides were dissolved in nitric acid, precipitated by ammonia and thoroughly washed, in order to obtain them in a reactive form. They were wrapped in platinum envelopes and heated to the desired temperature (up to a maximum of 800° C.) under the desired water vapour pressure (up to a maximum of 20,000 lb.in.⁻²) in the usual types of vessels (see Roy, Roy & Osborn, 1950) for periods from a few hours to a few weeks. The vessels were 'quenched', and the products examined by the petrographic microscope and X-ray diffraction. Powder patterns were obtained using filtered copper radiation on a G.E. XRD-3 165° spectrometer unit. The necessary oscillation, rotation and Weissenberg diagrams were obtained with unfiltered Fe, Cu or Mo radiation for the equator, first and second layer lines around the 'c' and 'a' axes.

The single-crystal data for La(OH)₃ yielded a space group $(C6_3/m)$ agreeing with that found by Zachariasen and by Fricke & Seitz. The cell dimensions obtained from these data and from the indexing of the powder pattern data, using Bunn-type charts, are given in Table 1, and the agreement with Zachariasen for La(OH)3 and Nd(OH)₃ is fair. The density measured pycnometrically on a 5 g. sample was found to be 4.453 ± 0.005 g.cm.⁻³ compared with Zachariasen's X-ray density of 4.44 g.cm.⁻³. Optical data obtained on La(OH)₃ gave $n_{e} =$ 1.768 ± 0.005 , $n_{\omega} = 1.740 \pm 0.005$; uniaxial positive; pronounced positive elongation. The neodymium, samarium (as also the gadolinium) hydroxides proved to be isomorphous, having the same positive elongation and very slightly higher refractive indices. Moreover, the powder patterns which are listed in Table 1 compare well among themselves and with that given by Fricke & Seitz (1947) for 'Er(OH)₃'. However, their unit-cell size for 'Er(OH)₃'

^{*} This work was a part of a program studying the phase equilibrium relationships of hydrated minerals and oxides under hydrothermal conditions, supported by the Office of Naval Research (Contract No. N6onr-269) and the Army Signal Corps (Contract No. DA 36-039 sc-5594); it appeared as part of the Annual Report to the latter Agency, dated 1 July 1952.

Table 1. Powder diffraction data for rare earth hydrates

	La(OH) ₃		Nd(OH)3		Sm(O	H)3	Y(OI	Y(OH) ₃	
hkl	·d' (Å)	I/I ₀	·d' (Å)	I/I ₀	·d' (Å)	I/I_0	·d' (Å)	I/I_0	
100	5.65	65	5.57	80	5.54	100	8.4	10	
			• • •		0.10	=0	8.12	100	
110	3.26	75	3.20	65	3.16	70	5·82 4·69	0 20	
101	3.10	85	3.08	85	3.03	55	4.57	15	
101	0.19	00	0.00	00	0.00	00	4.41	10	
200	2.823	25	2.768	10	2.734	15	4.09	70	
							3.677	65	
111	2.50	5	2.45	5			3.07	70	
				100	0.100	00	3.00	45	
201	2.276	100	2.217	100	2.183	90	2.807	0 90	
910	9,196	10	9.009	10	2.069	15	2.660	20	
210	2.130	10	2.002	10	2000	10	2.344	15^{-0}	
002	1.922	10					2.217	3	
••-							2.117	3	
300	1.884	25	1.848*	50	1.821	50	2:040	15	
							1.997	20	
211	1.870	90	1.842	100	1.795	65	1.866	15	
000	1 691	80	1.605	90	1.575	15	1.781	1 5	
220	1.031	20	1.005	30	1.979	15	1.758*	5	
310	1.565	15	1.540	10	1.519	7	1.672	5	
010	1000	10					1.634	7	
311	1.452	2	1.417	20	1.400	20	1.602	10	
							1.561	10	
400	1.411	5	1.392	10	1.366	10	1.528	10	
401		_	1.311	15	1.284	10			
320	1.296	5	—			_			
410	1.231*	20	1.290*	10	1.194	10			
	$a_0 = 6.523 \pm 0.003$ Å		$a_0 = 6.421 + 0.010 \text{ Å}$		$a_0 = 6.312 +$	-0·01 Å			
	$c_{1} = 3.855 \pm 0.02$ Å		$c_0 = 3.74 + 0.02$ Å		$c_0 = 3.59 + 0.03 \text{ Å}$				
			-0 0, 1 T		l doublot		•		
			*	Unresolved	i doublet.				

is only a little smaller than that obtained by us for Sm(OH)₃ and their cell sizes for the other hydroxides range from a maximum of c = 3.55 and a = 6.25 Å (for La) to c = 3.53 and a = 6.24 Å (for Y). These anomalous results of Fricke, Seitz and Schubert may therefore be ascribed to the use of mixtures of rare earths rather than pure oxide fractions.

In Table 1 we also list the powder pattern for $Y(OH)_3$; this is seen to bear no resemblance to the patterns of the other hydroxides. The identification of this phase is based on the following criteria: (i) It is prepared from only Y_2O_3 and H_2O . (ii) It forms under conditions where La(OH)₃ is also stable. (iii) It yields another hydrate, then Y_2O_3 on successive equilibrium dehydration, and no hydrates other than mono- and tri-hydrates are known for the trivalent elements. (iv) Its refractive indices bear a reasonable relationship to those of both La(OH)₃ and Y₂O₃.H₂O. The optical character of Y(OH)₃ was also found to be quite different from the other rare earth hydrates, being needle-like with negative elongation, $n_p = 1.676 \pm 0.003$, $n_g = 1.714 \pm 0.003$. Single-crystal work on $Y(OH)_3$ is in progress. It is clear, therefore, that the structure listed for Y(OH)₃ is incorrect, and it is not analogous to the La(OH)3 or UCl3 structure, and therefore cannot give its name to this structure type. Indeed it is apparent that instead of separate rare earths Fricke & Seitz (1947) had nearly the same mixture of oxides giving an approximate ionic radius of 1.12 Å (of Goldschmidt-type radii, with La 1.22 and Sm 1.13 Å).

References

FRICKE, R. & SEITZ, A. (1947). Z. anorg. Chem. 254, 109. Roy, R., Roy, D. M. & Osborn, E. F. (1950). J. Amer.

Ceram. Soc. 33, 152. SCHUBERT, A. & SEITZ, A. (1946). Z. Naturforsch. 1, 321.

SCHUBERT, A. & SEITZ, A. (1947). Z. anorg. Chem. 254, 116.

Structure Reports for 1947-1948. (1951). Utrecht: Oosthoek. ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1948a). J. Chem. Phys. 16, 254.

ZACHARIASEN, W. H. (1948b). Acta Cryst. 1, 265.